# United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



# APPELLANT'S BRIEF

14-2181

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-v-

EUGENIO FARINAS,

74 Cr. 704

B

Defendant.

## BRIEF FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT EUGENIO FARINAS

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



JOSEPH I. STONE
Attorney for Defendant
Office & P.O. Address
277 Breadway
New York, New York 10007

TO: PAUL J. CURRAN, ESQ.
United States Attorney
Southern District of New York
United States Courthouse
Forey Square, New York 10007

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

7 Cr. 704

EUGENIO FARTNAS,

Defendant.

BRIEF FOR LEFENDANT-APPELLANT
EUCENIO FARINAS

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH I. STONE Attorney for Defendant Office & P.O. Address 277 Broadway New York, New York 10007

TO: PAUL J. CURRAN, ESQ.
United States Attorney
Southern District of New York
United States Courthouse
Foley Square, New York 10007

ONLY COPY AVAILABLE

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The petitioner-appellant, Eurenio Ferinas, appeals from a judgment of conviction entered August 22, 1974, wherein the defendant was found puilty in a non-jury trial before Hon. Edward whinfeld for violating Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1201(a) and 875(c) (kidnaping, conspiracy and in enstate relephone communication). The defendant was some enced to three years imprisonment and has been incommented since the day of his arrest.

### STATEMENT OF ACTS

Eugenio Farinas was a rested on June 12, 1974, while in the presence of Roman lillegas in the vicinity of Route 1-9 and 91st Street in North bergen, New Jersey. The arrest took place after the F.B.I. conducted surveillance of this vehicle from New York to New Jersey, where it met with a vehicle driven by Mrs. Teresa Lopez, allegedly to pay off ransom for the return of her husband, Orlando Lopez. Judge Weinfeld heard the testimony of Roman Villegas, who pleaded grilty to the charge of kidnaping; the testimory of Irene Gonzales, who also pleaded

testimony of Rosa Ram rez, a friend of Roman Villega; and the testimony of Orlando Lopez, the victim; his wife, as mentioned above, and four F.B.I. agents.

A: the conclusion of the government's case,

Judge Jeinfeld stated, to the best of my recollection, that
"the povernment has poled a kidnaping beyond any peradventure of doubt". The only issue remaining was a whether Farinas was aware of the kidnaping and aided in carrying out any of the schemes to obtain tansom.

Villegas, Ramirez and Gonzales testified that
Farinas was present several weeks before, when it was
agreed that someone would kidnap Lopez. After Lopez
was kidnaped and taken to several motels in New Jersey,
a series of phone calls emanated from the kidnapers to
the home of Mrs. Lopez and her sister, Sylvia Pelliccio,
in Spring Valley, New York. The phone calls established
beyond any question that certain individuals wanted
ransom for the return of Orlando Lopez. Many of these
phone conversations were tapped with the consent of one
party to the conversation and transcripts were available
to the defendant at the time of trial.

After several aborted attempts to collect the raisom by Villegas and co-defendant Iglesias (since deceased), Villegas returned to a Bronz apartment where he met Farinas. According to Villegas, Farinas was going to go with him to get the ransom money.

The ensuing surveillance was instrumental in Judge Weinfeld's decision or finding because the F.B.I. agents and Mr. Lopez testified that when they followed the car driven by Villegas, Farinas, the passenger, continually gave overt hand signals directing them through the circuitous routes in New Jersey. Farinas testified on his own behalf stating he did not know of the kidnaping attempt and was not aware that Villegas was about to receive ransom. He explained the hand signals simply by saying that because of his Cuban heritage, he often uses his hands when he talks and did not give any signals to the car behind him except when they were backing down from a dead end street moments before his arrest. Judge Weinfeld heard argument from both defense counsel and the United States Attorney and agreed that the issue in this case was one of credibility. Judge Weinfeld accepted/credibility of Villegas and the other two witnesses as establishing the existence of a conspiracy and

conspiracy and discounted Farines' explanations as to why he was in the car. It was my recollection that Judge Weinfeld referred to Farinas' testimony as "incre lulous".

Farina, at the time of trial attempted to ascertain that Villegas was not a Puerto Rican, was not in reality Villegas and had a criminal record.

At the time of sentencing, the government made known to the undersigned and Farinas that these facts were true; i.e., that Villegas was Cuban, had a different name and had a pending case in New Jersey. Judge Weinfeld hade it very clear at the time of sentencing that these facts would not have made "the slightest bit of difference" in his decision.

Iglesias at the time of trial was a fugitive and according to published newspaper reports, has since been murdered.

From existing court records, it has been ascertained that Irene Gonzales received a one-year sentence and Rosa Ramirez has not been prosecuted.

Roman Villegas received a five year sertence on August 22, 1974.

In addition to Farinas' own testimony, Farinas

conversations they had with Villegas which would have proven that Farinas did not commit the crimes and that Villegas was testifying against him to "save his skin".

Judge Weinfeld, sitting without a jury permittee this "hearsay" testimony to be received but stated at the conclusion of the case that the witnesses offered by the defendant, who are cumulatively serving approximately one hundred years in jail (this was before two of them escaped) did not add much credence to, the defendant's position.

On the basis of all the above facts, Eugenio Farinas urges this court to reverse the judgments of conviction previously entered persuant to Anders v. California.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH I STONE
Attorney for defendant